

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/614,529	07/07/2003	J. Brett Rolfson	MTI-31930	9123
31870	7590 · 04/19/2005		EXAMINER	
WHYTE HIRSCHBOECK DUDEK S.C.			CULBERT, ROBERTS P	
555 EAST WELLS STREET SUITE 1900		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MILWAUKEE, WI 53202			1763	
			DATE MAILED: 04/19/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/614,529	ROLFSON, J. BRETT				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Roberts Culbert	1763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ag	<u>oril 2005</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-112 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-112 are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction and the order of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the E frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		Ļ				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
·						
Attachment(s)	4) 🗖 I	DTO 442)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) lnterview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					
. Patent and Trademark Office		·				

PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Application/Control Number: 10/614,529 Page 2

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

1. Claims 1-56 and 59-110, drawn to an etching method, classified in class 216, subclass

41.

II. Claims 57, 58, 111 and 112, drawn to a nozzle tool, classified in class 156, subclass

345.11.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and Itare related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be

distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed

can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a

materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for

using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product such as a nozzle

tool with a single application means, and the product as claimed can be used in a materially different

process of using that product such as coating a workpiece.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate

status in the art as shown by their different classification and recognized divergent subject matter, and the

search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated

is proper.

A telephone call was made to Alan Wagner on 4/15/05 to request an oral election to the above

restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of

the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 1763

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-56 and 59-110 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicant presents an unreasonable number of claims in view of the nature and scope of the invention thus being repetitious and causing confusion. It is acknowledged that the independent claims differ from each other somewhat, but many of the dependent claims are identical to others that have been presented. The examiner acknowledges the right of applicant to reasonable latitude in stating their claims. Such latitude should not be extended to sanction that degree of repetition and multiplicity, which beclouds definition in a maze of confusion. The rule of reason is practiced and applied on the basis of circumstances. In this case it would appear that a total of 36 claims with 4 independent claims could adequately cover the invention, i.e. 4 independent claims with 32 dependent claims. To be responsive, if applicant elects Group I (Claims 1-56 and 59-110) applicant should also elect up to 4 independent claims and up to 32 dependent claims from Group I. See MPEP 2173.05(n).

A telephone call was made to Alan Wagner on 4/15/05 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roberts Culbert whose telephone number is (571) 272-1433. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on (571) 272-1435. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,529

Art Unit: 1763

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

R. Culbert

M. Collet

PARVIZ HASSANZADEH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER